

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/544,662	KAUFFELDT ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Shi K. Li	2633	

All Participants:

Status of Application: allowed

(1) Shi K. Li.

(3) _____.

(2) Brian W. Oaks.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 17 February 2005

Time: 3:30 p.m.

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Final rejection filed 13 December 2004

Claims discussed:

21 and 22

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Shi K. Li

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner informs the applicant that the arguments filed on 25 January 2005 overcome the rejections of claims 1-3, 5, 12, 16, 23-26, 32 and 34. The rejections of claims 21 and 22 would be maintained. The applicant agrees to cancel claims 21 and 22 to put the application in condition for allowance. .